arXiv:1403.2095v1 [math.NT] 9 Mar 2014

ON ISOMORPHISM NUMBERS OF "F-CRYSTALS"

SIAN NIE

Abstract. In this note, we show that for an "F-crystal" (the equal characteristic analogue of F-crystals), its *isomorphism number* and its *level torsion* coincide. This confirms a conjure of Vasiu [Va] in the equal characteristic case.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ and D a p-divisible group over $\Bbbk$. It is well-known that there exists a nonnegative integer n such that the isomorphic class of D is determined by the finite truncation $D[p^n]$ of D. We call the smallest integer with such property the *isomorphism number* of D and denote it by n_D. An interesting problem is to compute this number using invariants of the isomorphism class of D. In [Va], Vasiu introduced the *level torsion* ℓ_D of D by considering its associated Dieudonné module and showed that $n_D \leqslant \ell_D$. Actually Vasiu proved this inequality for all *F-crystals* and moreover, the equality hold if the F-crystal is a direct sum of *isoclinic* ones. He also conjectured that the equality holds for all F-crystals. In [LNV], Lau, Nicole and Vasiu discovered an optimal upper bound for n_D in terms of the Hodge polygon and the Newton polygon of D. They also proved that the equality $n_D = \ell_D$ always holds. Recently, using level torsion numbers, Xiao [X1] provided an efficiently computable upper bound for isomorphism numbers of isoclinic F-crystals.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove Vasiu' conjecture on the equality of the isomorphic number and level torsion for an *"F-crystal"* (see §1.2), defined using the ring $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ of formal power series instead of the ring $W(\Bbbk)$ of Witt vectors over $\Bbbk$.

1.2. Let $\Bbbk((\epsilon))$ be the field of formal Laurent series over k and $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ its ring of formal power series. Let q be a power of p. We define the Frobenius automorphism σ of $\Bbbk((\epsilon))$ by $\sigma(\sum a_n\epsilon^n) = \sum a_n^q\epsilon^n$, where each $a_n \in \Bbbk$.

Let M be a free $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$-module of finite rank. Let ϕ be a σ-linear isomorphism of $V = M \otimes_{\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]} \Bbbk((\epsilon))$. We call the pair (V, ϕ) an *"F-isocrystal"* over $\Bbbk$. Moreover, if $\phi(M) \subset M$, we call the pair (M, ϕ)

an *"F-crystal"* over $\Bbbk$. Its *isomorphism number*, denoted by n_M, is the smallest nonnegative integer n satisfying the property that for any $h \in GL(M)$ with $h \equiv 1 \mod \epsilon^n$, we have $(M, h\phi)$ is isomorphic to (M, ϕ), that is, $h\phi = g^{-1}\phi g$ for some $g \in GL(M)$.

In the above definitions, if we replace $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ and $\Bbbk((\epsilon))$ by the ring of Witt vectors over $\Bbbk$ and its fractional field respectively, then we obtain the notions of F-isocrystals and F-crystals.

Let $\tilde{\phi}$ be the σ-linear automorphism of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ defined by $f \mapsto \phi f \phi^{-1}$. We see that $(\mathrm{End}(V), \tilde{\phi})$ is an "F-isocrystal".

By Dieudonné's classification on *"F-isocrystals"*, we have a decomposition $V = \oplus_\lambda V^\lambda$, where each V^λ is a ϕ-stable $\Bbbk((\epsilon))$-vector subspace such that $(V^\lambda, \phi|_{V^\lambda})$ is an "F-isocrystal" of *slope* $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\mathrm{End}(V) = V_+ \oplus V_0 \oplus V_-$, where $V_+ = \bigoplus_{\lambda_1<\lambda_2} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{V}^{\lambda_1}, \mathrm{V}^{\lambda_2})$, $V_0 = \bigoplus_\lambda \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{V}^\lambda, \mathrm{V}^\lambda)$ and $V_- = \bigoplus_{\lambda_1<\lambda_2} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{V}^{\lambda_2}, \mathrm{V}^{\lambda_1})$.

Let H be a lattice of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Let $O_{H,+} \subset V_+ \cap H$, $O_{H,0} \subset V_0 \cap H$ and $O_{H,-} \subset V_- \cap H$ be the maximal $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$-modules such that $\tilde{\phi}(O_{H,+}) \subset O_{H,+}$, $\tilde{\phi}(O_{H,0}) = O_{H,0}$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(O_{H,-}) \subset O_{H,-}$. Define

$$O_H = O_{H,+} \oplus O_{H,0} \oplus O_{H,-}.$$

Following [Va], if $H = \mathrm{End}(M)$, we call O_H the *level module* of (M, ϕ) and define the *level torsion* ℓ_M of (M, ϕ) by the following two rules:

(a) $\ell_M = 1$ if $H = \mathrm{End}(M) = O_H$ and $O_{H,+} \oplus O_{H,-}$ is not topologically nilpotent;

(b) $\ell_M = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}; \epsilon^n H \subset O_H\}$ otherwise.

1.3. The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 1.3.1. *For any "F-crystal" (M, ϕ) we have $n_M \geqslant \ell_M$.*

Combining with Vasiu's inequality $n_M \leqslant \ell_M$ in [Va], we confirm Vasiu's conjecture for "F-crystals".

Theorem 1.3.2. *For any "F-crystal" (M, ϕ) we have $n_M = \ell_M$.*

Remark 1.3.3. Vasiu only proved his inequality for F-crystals. However, his proof can be adapted for "F-crystals" straightforwardly.

After a preliminary version of this note was finished, we learnt that Xiao has confirmed Vasiu's conjecture for F-crystals in the preprint [X2], where he systematically generalizes several invariants, originally defined for isomorphism classes of p-divisible groups, to those of F-crystals. Then using a main strategy in [LNV] he shows that all these invariants, including level torsion and isomorphism number, are all the same.

In this note, we approach Theorem 1.3.1 in a different way. The proof consists of two steps. First we prove a "linearization" lemma.

Lemma 1.3.4. *If* $K_n \subset \{g^{-1}\tilde{\phi}(g); g \in K\}$ *for some* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*, then* $\epsilon^n \operatorname{End}(M) \subset (\tilde{\phi} - 1)\operatorname{End}(M)$*. Here* $K_n = \{g \in GL(M); g \equiv 1 \mod \epsilon^n\}$*.*

Then we show

Lemma 1.3.5. *If* $\epsilon^n H \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$ *for some* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$*, then* $\epsilon^n H \subset O_H$*. Recall that* H *is a lattice of* $\operatorname{End}(M)$*.*

Assuming these two lemmas, one can finish the proof immediately.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.1. If $n_M = 0$, it follows form [Va, Lemma 2.3]. Now we assume $n_M \geqslant 1$ and it follows directly from Lemma 1.3.4 and Lemma 1.3.5. □

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank X. Xiao for sending me his preprint [X2] and his helpful correspondence.

2. Algebraically closed admissible subsets

Let U be a finite dimensional $\Bbbk((\epsilon))$-vector space and $N \subset U$ a lattice. For an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set $N_i = \epsilon^i N$. Let ψ be a σ-linear automorphism of U. We say $Z \subset U$ is an algebraically *closed admissible subset* if there exist $n < m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a closed subvariety $Z_{n,m} \subset N_n/N_m$ such that Z is the preimage of $Z_{n,m}$ under the natural projection $\pi_{n,m} : N_n \to N_n/N_m$. Clearly our definition does not depend on the choices of n, m and $Z_{n,m}$. The following lemma implies that it doesn't depend on the choice N either.

Lemma 2.0.6. $GL(U)$ *preserves algebraically closed admissible subsets of* U*.*

Proof. We fix a $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$-basis of $E = \{e_i; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$ N. By Cartan decomposition we have $GL(U) = KYK$, where $K = GL(N)$ and

$$Y = \{g \in GL(U); g(e_i) = \epsilon^{a_i} e_i \text{ for some } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that $K \cup Y$ preserves algebraically closed admissible subsets of U. Let $n < m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g \in K \cup Y$. Then $g(N_n)$ is an algebraically closed admissible subset. Note that the natural morphism $g : N_n/N_m \to g(N_n)/g(N_m)$ induced by $v \mapsto g(v)$ for $v \in N_n$ is an isomorphism. Then the lemma follows from the observation that the natural projection $g(N_n)/N_{m'} \to g(N_n)/g(N_m)$ is a trivial vector bundle for any sufficiently large $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Now we come to the main result of this section, which plays a key role in the proof of Lemma 1.3.4.

Lemma 2.0.7. *Let ψ be a σ-linear automorphism of U. Then $\psi - 1$ preserves algebraically closed admissible subsets of U.*

Proof of Proposition 2.0.7. Let $U = \bigoplus_\lambda U^\lambda$ be the slope decomposition with respect to ψ. We fix a basis $E^\lambda = \{e_{i,\lambda}; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_\lambda\}$ for each U^λ. Thanks to Lemma 2.0.6, we may conjugate ψ by a proper element of $GL(U)$ so that $\psi(e_{i,\lambda}) = e_{i+1,\lambda}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, r_\lambda - 1$ and $\psi(e_{r_\lambda,\lambda}) = \epsilon^{s_\lambda} e_{1,\lambda}$, where $s_\lambda = \lambda r_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if $\lambda = 0$, we can assume $\psi(e_i) = e_i$ for each $e_i \in E^\lambda$.

Let N^λ be the lattice spanned by E^λ and $N^\lambda_n = \epsilon^n N^\lambda$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We see that $(\psi - 1)N^\lambda = \max\{N^\lambda, \psi(N^\lambda)\} = N^\lambda + \psi(N^\lambda)$.

Let $n < m \in \mathbb{Z}$. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show the natural surjective morphism

$$\psi - 1 : \frac{N^\lambda_n}{N^\lambda_m} \longrightarrow \frac{(\psi-1)N^\lambda_n}{(\psi-1)N^\lambda_m} \tag{2.0.1}$$

is a finite morphism.

Without loss of generality, we assume $\lambda < 0$. Then (2.0.1) becomes

$$\psi - 1 : \frac{N^\lambda_n}{N^\lambda_m} \longrightarrow \frac{\psi(N^\lambda_n)}{\psi(N^\lambda_m)}.$$

Since N^λ_n/N^λ_m is isomorphic to the $\Bbbk$-vector space spanned by $\epsilon^j e_{i,\lambda}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_\lambda$ and $n \leqslant j \leqslant m-1$, its coordinate ring is $\Bbbk[N^\lambda_n/N^\lambda_m] = \Bbbk[x_{i,j}; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_\lambda, n \leqslant j \leqslant m-1]$, where $x_{i,j}$ is the dual linear function such that $x_{i,j}(\epsilon^{j'} e_{i',\lambda}) = \delta_{i,i'}\delta_{j,j'}$. Similarly $\Bbbk[\psi(N^\lambda_n)/\psi(N^\lambda_m)] = \Bbbk[y_{i,j}; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_\lambda, n \leqslant j \leqslant m-1]$, where $y_{i,j}(\psi(\epsilon^{j'} e_{i',\lambda})) = \delta_{i,i'}\delta_{j,j'}$. Let $\psi^* : \Bbbk[\psi(N^\lambda_n)/\psi(N^\lambda_m)] \to \Bbbk[N^\lambda_n/N^\lambda_m]$ be the induced homomorphism between coordinate rings. One computes directly that

$$(\psi^* - 1)(y_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} x^q_{i,j} - x_{i+1,j}, \text{ if } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_\lambda - 1; \\ x^q_{r_\lambda,j} - x_{1,j-s_\lambda}, \text{ if } i = s_\lambda, j - s_\lambda \geqslant n; \\ x^q_{r_\lambda,j}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \leqslant l \leqslant n + s_\lambda - 1$. By the above rules, $x^q_{r_\lambda,l} = (\psi^* - 1)(y_{r_\lambda,l})$. Hence $x_{r_\lambda,l}$ is integral over $\Bbbk[\psi(N^\lambda_n)/\psi(N^\lambda_m)]$. Note that $(\psi^* - 1)(y_{r_\lambda-1,l}) = x^q_{r_\lambda-1,l} - x_{r_\lambda,l}$. So $x_{r_\lambda-1,l}$ is integral over $\Bbbk[\psi(N^\lambda_n)/\psi(N^\lambda_m)]$. Repeating this argument, we see that $x_{i,j}$ is integral over $\Bbbk[\psi(N^\lambda_n)/\psi(N^\lambda_m)]$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r_\lambda$ and $n \leqslant j \leqslant m-1$. Therefore $\psi - 1$ is a finite morphism as desired. $\square$

3. Proof of Lemma 1.3.4

We keep M, ϕ and V as in the section of introduction. Let $H = \mathrm{End}(M)$ and $K = GL(M)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, we define $K_n = \{g \in GL(M); g \equiv 1 \mod \epsilon^n\}$. The main purpose of this section is to prove Lemma 1.3.4. The proof is based on *Bruhat decomposition* of $GL(V)$ and certain *Moy-Prasad filtration* of $\mathrm{End}(M)$, which we now introduce.

Fix a basis $E = \{e_i; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\}$ of M and identify K with $GL_r(\Bbbk[[\epsilon]])$ so that for each $g = (g_{i,j}) \in GL_r(\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]) = K$, we have $g(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^r g_{i,j} e_i$. Let $M^\vee$ be the dual lattice of M and let $E^\vee = \{e_i^\vee; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\} \subset M$ be the basis dual to E. Then $H = M \otimes_{\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]} M^\vee$. Let $\bar{M} \subset M$ ($\bar{M}^\vee \subset M^\vee$) be the $\Bbbk$-vector space spanned by E ($E^\vee$). Let $\bar{H} = \bar{M} \otimes \bar{M}^\vee$ and $\bar{K} = GL(\bar{M}) \cong GL_r(\Bbbk) \subset K$.

Let $B \subset \bar{K}$ be the subgroup of upper-triangulated matrices. Let $U \subset B$ be its unipotent radical and $T \subset B$ the subgroup of invertible diagonal matrices. Then $B = TU$. Let $\pi : K \to \bar{K}$ be the reduction modulo ϵ map. Let $\mathcal{I} = \pi^{-1}(B)$, which is called a *standard Iwahori subgroup* with respect to E. Let $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1}(U)$ be the *prounipotent subgroup* of $\mathcal{I}$. Let $W \subset \bar{K}$ be the subgroup of permutation matrices. Let $Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ be the cocharacter group of T. Since W normalizes T, we define $\tilde{W} = Y \rtimes W$. Then we have the Bruhat decomposition

$$GL(V) = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}} \mathcal{I}\tilde{w}\mathcal{I}.$$

Let $\Phi = \{\alpha_{i,j} = e_i - e_j; 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r\} \setminus \{0\}$ be the set of roots and $\tilde{\Phi} = \{\alpha + n \in \mathbb{Z}\Phi \oplus \mathbb{Z}; \alpha \in \Phi \cup \{0\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \setminus \{0\}$ the set of affine roots. Set $\tilde{\Phi}^+ = \{\alpha_{i,j} + n \in \tilde{\Phi};$ either $n > 0$ or $n = 0$ and $i < j\}$. Then $\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\Phi}^+ \cup -\tilde{\Phi}^+$. Note that $\tilde{W}$ acts on $\tilde{\Phi}$. We define the *length* of $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}$ by $\ell(w) = \sharp\{a \in \tilde{\Phi}^+; \tilde{w}(a) < 0\}$. Let $S = \{s \in W; \ell(s) = 1\}$ be the set of simple reflections of W. Let $s \in S$ and $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}$. We have

$$\mathcal{I}\tilde{w}\mathcal{I}s\mathcal{I} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}\tilde{w}s\mathcal{I}, \text{ if } \ell(\tilde{w}s) = \ell(\tilde{w}) + 1; \\ \mathcal{I}\tilde{w}s\mathcal{I} \bigsqcup \mathcal{I}\tilde{w}\mathcal{I}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\tilde{W}^S = \{\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}; \ell(ws) = \ell(w) + 1, s \in S\}$. For any $x \in \tilde{W}^S$ and $w \in W$ we have $\ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$ and $\mathcal{I}x\mathcal{I}w\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}xw\mathcal{I}$.

Let $a = \alpha_{i,j} + n \in \tilde{\Phi}$. We define $\tilde{e}_a = \epsilon^n e_i \otimes e_j^\vee \in H$ and $U_a = \{1 + c\tilde{e}_a \in GL(V); c \in \Bbbk\}$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \prod_{a \in \tilde{\Phi}^+} U_a$ and each $g \in G(L)$ has a unique expression $g = hwi$ with $\tilde{w} \in \tilde{W}$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $h \in U_w \doteq \prod_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{w}^{-1}(\alpha) < 0} U_\alpha$.

Let $J \subset S$. Let $P_J = L_J U_J \supset T$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of type J with Levi subgroup $L_J \supset T$ and unipotent radical U_J. Let

$\Phi_J \subset \Phi$ be the set of root of L_J. Then L_J is generated by T and the root subgroup U_α with $\alpha \in \Phi_J$. Let $W_J \subset W$ be the Weyl group of L_J. We have $L_J = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_J} B_J w B_J$, where $B_J = B \cap L_J$ is a Borel subgroup of L_J. Let $\mathcal{U}_J = \pi^{-1}(U_J) = U_J K_1 \subset \mathcal{U}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_J$ is normalized by L_J.

For $g, f \in GL(V)$ and $D \subset GL(V)$ we write ${}^g f = gfg^{-1}$ and ${}^g D = \{{}^g h; h \in D\}$. By abuse of notations, we denote by $\sigma : V \to V$ the σ-linear endomorphism of V which fixes each element in the basis E of M.

Lemma 3.0.8. *Let $\phi \in K_1 x\sigma$ be a σ-linear automorphism of V with $x \in \tilde{W}^S$. Let $J = \max\{J' \subset S; xJ'x^{-1} = J'\}$. If $K_n \subset \{g^{-1}\tilde{\phi}(g); g \in K\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then $K_n \subset \{g^{-1}\tilde{\phi}(g); g \in \Lambda \mathcal{U}_J\}$, where $\Lambda = \{g \in L_J; {}^{x\sigma} g = g\}$ is a finite set.*

Proof. Let $g \in K$ such that $f = g^{-1}\tilde{\phi}(g) \in K_n \subset K_1$. Assume $g = hwi$ with $w \in W$, $h \in U_w \subset \bar{K}$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}$. By $f\phi = g^{-1}\phi g$ we have

$$\mathcal{I}x\sigma\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}w^{-1}h^{-1}x\sigma\ hw\mathcal{I}.$$

Note that ${}^\sigma w = w$ and $\ell(xw) = \ell(x) + \ell(w)$. We have $w^{-1}xw = x$. By [N, Lemma 4.1], $w \in W_J$ and $g \in L_J \mathcal{U}_J$. Write $g = mu$ with $m \in L_J$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}_J$. Then ${}^{x\sigma}u \in \mathcal{U}$ and

$$K_1 x\sigma = K_1 u^{-1} m^{-1 x\sigma} m\ {}^{x\sigma}u\ x\sigma \subset \mathcal{U}_J L_J x\sigma$$

Since $xJx^{-1} = J$, $m' = m^{-1 x\sigma} m \in L_J$. Assume $m' \in B_J w' B_J$ for some $w' \in W_J$. Then $x\sigma \in \mathcal{I}w'\mathcal{I}x\sigma\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}w'x\sigma\mathcal{I}$. So $w' = 1$ and $m' \in B_J$. Write $u = \prod_{a \in \tilde{\Phi}^+ \setminus \Phi_J} x_a$ with $x_a \in U_a$. Since ${}^{x\sigma}u \in \mathcal{U}$, $x\sigma(a) > 0$ if $x_a \neq 1$. Noticing that ${}^{x\sigma}B_J = B_J$, we have furthermore $x\sigma(a) \in \tilde{\Phi}^+ \setminus \Phi_J$ if $x_a \neq 1$, which means ${}^{x\sigma}u \subset \mathcal{U}_J$ and $m' = 1$. Therefore $g \in \Lambda\mathcal{U}_J = \mathcal{U}_J\Lambda$ as desired.

It remains to show Λ is finite. Indeed, we have $(x\sigma)^s = \mu(\epsilon)\sigma^s$ for some positive integer s and some cocharacter $\mu \in Y$, which has only finitely many fixed points on L_J. Therefore Λ is finite. □

Proof of Lemma 1.3.4. By [Vi], conjugating ϕ by a proper element of K we may assume $\phi \in K_1 x\sigma$ with $x \in \tilde{W}^S$. Thanks to Lemma 3.0.8, there exists $J \subset S$ with $xJx^{-1} = J$ such that $K_n \subset \{g^{-1}\tilde{\phi}(g); g \in \Lambda\mathcal{U}_J\}$, where $\Lambda = \{g \in L_J; {}^{x\sigma} g = g\}$.

Fix $v \in Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle \alpha, v\rangle = 0$ if $\alpha \in \Phi_J$ and $0 < \langle \alpha, v\rangle < \frac{1}{2}$ if $\alpha \in \Phi^+ - \Phi_J$. Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\tilde{\Phi}_r = \{a \in \tilde{\Phi}; a(v) = r\}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{\geqslant r} = \{a \in \tilde{\Phi}; a(v) \geqslant r\}$. Set $V\langle r\rangle = \oplus_{a \in \tilde{\Phi}_r} \Bbbk \tilde{e}_a$ and $H_{\geqslant r} = \sum_{a \in \tilde{\Phi}_{\geqslant r}} \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]\tilde{e}_a$. Note that $H_{\geqslant r} H_{\geqslant r'} \subset H_{\geqslant r+r'}$ for $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$.

We prove the lemma by induction on $0 \leqslant r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $H_{\geqslant r} \subset (\tilde{\phi} - 1)H$. By Lemma 2.0.7, there exists a sufficiently large integer m such

that $H_m \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$. We can assume $H_{\geqslant r} \subset H_n$ and $H_{\geqslant r} \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$ for some $r \geqslant 0$. If $H_n = H_{\geqslant r}$, there is nothing to prove. Now we assume that $H_{\geqslant r} \subsetneq H_n$. Let $r^- = \max\{t \in \mathbb{R}; t < r, \tilde{\Phi}_{\geqslant r} \subsetneq \tilde{\Phi}_{\geqslant t}\}$. We show that $H_{\geqslant r^-} \subset H_n$ and $H_{\geqslant r^-} \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$, which will finish our induction argument.

Assume $H_{r^-} \nsubseteq H_n$. Since $H_{\geqslant r^-} = V\langle r^-\rangle \oplus H_{\geqslant r}$, there exists $a = \alpha + j \in \tilde{\Phi}_{r^-}$ with $\alpha \in \Phi \cup \{0\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tilde{e}_a \in V\langle r^-\rangle \setminus H_n$, that is, $a(v) = \langle \alpha, v\rangle + j = r^-$ and $j \leqslant n-1$. Let $b = \beta + i \in \tilde{\Phi}$ with $\beta \in \Phi \cup \{0\}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tilde{e}_b \in H_n$. Then $i \geqslant n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} b(v) &= a(v) + (i-j) + \langle \beta - \alpha, v\rangle \\ &\geqslant a(v) + (i-j) - |\langle \beta - \alpha, v\rangle| \\ &> a(v) + 1 - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = r^-. \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of r^-, we have $b(v) \geqslant r$, hence $b \in \tilde{\Phi}_{\geqslant r}$ which means $H_n \subset H_{\geqslant r}$ contradicting our assumption that $H_n \nsubseteq H_{\geqslant r}$. Therefore $H_{\geqslant r^-} \subset H_n$.

It remains to show $H_{\geqslant r^-} \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$. Let $u \in V\langle r^-\rangle \subset H_n$. Then $1+u \in K_n$. By assumption, there exists $g \in \Lambda \mathfrak{U}_J$ such that

$$gu = (\tilde{\phi}-1)(g).$$

Write $g = (1+f)h$ with $h \in \Lambda$ and $1+f \in \mathfrak{U}_J$. We have

$$hu + fhu = (\tilde{\phi}-1)(g).$$

Since $h \in L_J$ and $1+f \in \mathfrak{U}_J$, we have $hV\langle r^-\rangle = V\langle r^-\rangle$ and $fV\langle r^-\rangle \subset H_{\geqslant r} \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$ by the choice of r^-. Hence

$$(*) \qquad hu \in V\langle r^-\rangle \cap (\tilde{\phi}-1)H.$$

Applying Lemma 2.0.7 with U and ψ replaced by $\mathrm{End}(V)$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ respectively, we see that $H_{\geqslant r^-} \cap (\tilde{\phi}-1)H \supset H_{\geqslant r}$ is an algebraically closed admissible set. Hence the image X of the natural projection

$$H_{\geqslant r^-} \cap (\tilde{\phi}-1)H \to H_{\geqslant r^-}/H_{\geqslant r} = V\langle r^-\rangle$$

is a closed subvariety. By $(*)$ we see that

$$V\langle r^-\rangle \subset \bigcup_{h \in \Lambda} h^{-1}X.$$

Since Λ is finite and that $V\langle r^-\rangle$ is an irreducible variety, we see that $X = V\langle r^-\rangle$ and $H_{\geqslant r^-} \subset (\tilde{\phi}-1)H$ as desired. $\square$

4. Proof of Lemma 1.3.5

In this section, we prove Lemma 1.3.5 by reduction to absurdity.

Let V, ϕ and $H \subset \mathrm{End}(V)$ be the same as in the introduction section. Let $A_0 = \{f \in \mathrm{End}(V); \tilde{\phi}(f) = f\}$. Then A_0 is a $\mathbb{F}_q((\epsilon))$-vector space and $O_{H,0} = (A_0 \cap H) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q[[\epsilon]]} \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$. We denote by $v_H : \mathrm{End}(V) \to \mathbb{Z}$ the associated valuation such that $v_H(f) = -\inf\{k \in \mathbb{Z}; \epsilon^k f \in H\}$. Let $v : \Bbbk((\epsilon)) \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the ordinary valuation such that $v(\Bbbk) = 0$ and $v(\epsilon) = 1$.

Proof of Lemma 1.3.5. Let $h \in \epsilon^n H$. We have to show that $h \in O_H$. Assume $h \in \epsilon^m O_H$ for some sufficiently small integer m. Let $E' = \{e'_i; i \in I\}$ be a $\mathbb{F}_q[[\epsilon]]$-basis of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^m H$.

Write $h = x_+ + x_0 + x_-$ with $x_- \in V_-$, $x_0 \in V_0$ and $x_+ \in V_+$. Recall that V_0, $V_\pm$ are defined in §1.2. Write $x_0 = \sum_{i\in I} c_i^o e_i^o$ with each $c_i^o \in \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$. Define

$$n_0 = \min\{v_H(c_i^o e_i^o), v_H(\tilde{\phi}^k(x_+), v_H(\tilde{\phi}^{-k-1}(x_-)); i \in I, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\}.$$

since $\tilde{\phi}(\epsilon) = \epsilon$, we have $v_H(e_i^o) = m$ and $v_H(c_i^o e_i^o) - n_0 = v(c_i^o) + m - n_0 \geqslant 0$. Hence $c_i^o e_i^o = \epsilon^{m-n_0} c_i^o (\epsilon^{n_0-m} e_i^o) \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_{H,0}$, which implies $x_0 \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_{H,0}$. By definition, $x_+ \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_{H,+}$. If $n_0 \geqslant 0$, then $x_- = h - x_+ - x_0 \in H$ and hence $x_- \in O_{H,-}$ by definition. Therefore $h \in O_H$ as desired.

Now we assume $n_0 \leqslant -1$. We show that this would lead to a contradiction. Note that $x_- \in \epsilon^{n_0} H$ and hence $x_- \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_{H,-}$. Therefore $h \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_H$.

For a basis $E' = \{e'_i; i \in I\}$ of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H$, we denote by $\mathrm{h_{E''}(x_0)}$ the numbers of nonzero coefficients $c'_i \in \Bbbk[[\epsilon]] \setminus \epsilon\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ in the expression $x_0 = \sum c'_i e'_i$. Let $E = \{e_i; i \in I\}$ be a basis of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H$ such that $\mathrm{h_E(x_0)}$ is minimal among all bases of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H$. Let $\bar{c}_i \in k$ such that $c_i - \bar{c}_i \in \epsilon\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ and $J = \{i \in I; \bar{c}_i \neq 0\}$. Then $\mathrm{h_E(x_0)} = |\mathrm{J}|$. We show that the elements $\bar{c}_i$ for $i \in J$ are linear independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Otherwise, there exists $j' \in J$ such that $\bar{c}_{j'} = \sum_{j\in J\setminus\{j'\}} b_j \bar{c}_j$ with each $b_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Take $e_i^1 = e_i + b_i e_{j'}$ if $i \in J \setminus \{i'\}$ and $e_i^1 = e_i$ otherwise. Then $E^1 = \{e_i^1; i \in I\}$ is a basis of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H$ such that $\mathrm{h_{E''}(x_0)} = \mathrm{h_E(x_0)} - 1$, which contradicts our choice of E.

We claim that

$$n_0 = \min\{v_H(c_i e_i), v_H(\tilde{\phi}^k(x_+), v_H(\tilde{\phi}^{-k-1}(x_-)); i \in I, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\}.$$

Indeed, let $E'' = \{e''_i; i \in I\}$ be a basis of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H$ and denote by $C_{E''}(x_0)$ the ideal of $\Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ generated by the coefficients $c''_i \in \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ in

the expression $x_0 = \sum_{i\in I} c_i'' e_i''$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\min\{v_H(c_i'' e_i''); i \in I\} &= n_0 + \min\{v(c_i''); i \in I\} \\ &= n_0 + \min\{v(c); c \in C_{E''}(x_0)\},\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from that observation that $v_H(e_i'') = n_0$ for $i \in I$. Note that $C_{E''}(x_0)$ is independent of the choice of E'' and that $\{\epsilon^{n_0-m} e_i^o; i \in I\}$ is a basis of $A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H$. We have $\min\{v_H(c_i e_i); i \in I\} = \min\{v_H(c_i^o e_i^o) = v_H(\epsilon^{m-n_0} c_i^o(\epsilon^{n_0-m} e_i^o)); i \in I\}$ and the claim is proved.

We fix a basis $F = \{f_l; l \in \Pi\}$ of H and Let $\bar{H} \subset H$ be the $\Bbbk$-vector subspace spanned F. Let $u_k, v_i, w_k \in \bar{H}$ be the unique elements such that

$$-\epsilon^{-n_0}\tilde{\phi}^k(x_+) - u_k,\ \epsilon^{-n_0} e_i - v_i,\ \epsilon^{-n_0}\tilde{\phi}^{-k-1}(x_-) - w_k \in \epsilon H$$

for $i \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Let $\alpha_{k,l}, \beta_{i,l}, \gamma_{k,l} \in \Bbbk$ be such that $u_k = \sum \alpha_{k,l} f_l$, $v_i = \sum \beta_{i,l} f_l$ and $w_k = \sum \gamma_{k,l} f_l$. Note that $\alpha_{l,k}$ and $\beta_{l,k}$ are zero for all but finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$.

Let $h' = x'_+ + x'_0 + x'_- \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_H$, where $x'_\pm \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_{H,\pm}$ and $x'_0 = \sum_{i\in I} d'_i e_i \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_H$ with each $c'_i \in \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$. Following [LNV, Lemma 8.6] we define $y_{h',+} = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}^k(x'_+)$, $y_{h',-} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}^{-k-1}(x'_-)$ and $y_{h',\theta',0} = \sum_{i\in I} \theta'_i e_i$, where $\theta = (\theta_i)_{i\in I}$ with each $\theta'_i \in \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ satisfying $\sigma(\theta'_i) - \theta'_i = d'_i$. Set $y_{h',\theta'} = y_{h',+} + y_{h',\theta',0} + y_{h',-} \in \epsilon^{n_0} O_H$. Then we have $h' = \tilde{\phi}(y_{h',\theta'}) - y_{h',\theta'}$.

Let $r \in \Bbbk$. Choose $\theta_r = (\theta_{i,r})_{i\in I}$ with each $\theta_{i,r} \in \Bbbk[[\epsilon]]$ satisfying $\sigma(\theta_{i,r}) - \theta_{i,r} = r c_i$ for $i \in I$. By assumption, $rh = (\tilde{\phi} - 1)(y_{rh,\theta}) = (\tilde{\phi} - 1)(g_r)$ for some $g_r \in H$. Therefore

$$y_{rh,\theta_r} - g_r \in A_0 \cap \epsilon^{n_0} H. \tag{4.0.2}$$

Hence there exist $a_{i,r} \in \mathbb{F}_q[[\epsilon]]$ for $i \in I$ such that

$$y_{rh,\theta_r} + \sum_{i\in I} a_{i,r} e_i \in H. \tag{4.0.3}$$

Let $z_{i,r} = \bar{\theta}_{i,r} \in \Bbbk$. Then $z_{i,r}^q - z_{i,r} = r\bar{c}_i$. By (4.0.2) and the assumption $n_0 \leqslant -1$, we have

$$\sum_{i\in I} (z_{i,r} + \bar{a}_{i,r}) v_i + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (r^{q^k} u_k + r^{q^{-k-1}} w_k) = 0.$$

In other words, for each $l \in \Pi$, we have

$$\sum_{i\in I} \beta_{i,l}(z_{i,r} + \bar{a}_{i,r}) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_{k,l} r^{q^k} + \gamma_{k,l} r^{q^{-k-1}}) = 0. \tag{4.0.4}$$

We show that there exists $l_0 \in \Pi$ such that (4.0.4) holds for only finitely many $r \in \Bbbk$, which is a contradiction since $\Bbbk$ is an infinite set.

Define $J = \{i \in I; \bar{c}_i \neq 0\}$. If $J = \emptyset$, then $z_{i,r} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $i \in I$. Moreover, Since $c_i e_i \in \epsilon^{n_0+1} H$ for all $i \in I$, we see that there exists $l_0 \in F$ such that one of $\{\alpha_{k,l_0}, \gamma_{k,l_0}; k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}\}$ is nonzero. Now (4.0.4) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_{k,l_0} r^{q^k} + \gamma_{k,l_0} r^{q^{-k-1}}) \in \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{F}_q \beta_{i,l_0}, \tag{4.0.5}$$

which has only finitely many solutions for r as desired.

Now we assume $J \neq \emptyset$ and fix an element $i_0 \in J$. Since $v_i \neq 0$ for each $i \in I$, there exists $l_0 \in \Pi$ such that $\beta_{i_0,l_0} \neq 0$. We write $\beta_i = \beta_{i,l_0}$, $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k,l_0}$ and $\gamma_k = \gamma_{k,l_0}$ for $i \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Take $z_i = z_{i,r} + \bar{a}_{i,r}$ for $i \in I$. Then (4.0.4) is equivalent to the following equations with variables z_i with $i \in I$.

(4.0.6)

$$P_i = \bar{c}_i^{-1}(z_i^q - z_i) - \bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}) = 0 \text{ for } i \in J \setminus \{i_0\};$$

(4.0.7)

$$P_i = z_i^q - z_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in I \setminus J;$$

(4.0.8)

$$P_{i_0} = \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i z_i + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_j (\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}))^{q^j} + \gamma_j (\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}))^{q^{-j-1}}) = 0.$$

To obtain the contradiction, it suffices to show that the above three equations have only finitely many solutions for z_{i_0}.

If not all of γ_k with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ are zero, let k_0 be the maximal integer such that $\gamma_{k_0} \neq 0$. In this case, replacing P_{i_0} by $P_{i_0}^{q^{k_0+1}}$ in (4.0.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i_0} = &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_k^{q^{k_0+1}} (\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}))^{q^{k_0-k}} + \alpha_k^{q^{k_0+1}} (\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}))^{q^{k+k_0+1}}) \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i^{q^{k_0+1}} z_i^{q^{k_0+1}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then one computes that

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial z_j}\right)_{i,j \in I} = \pm \gamma_{k_0}^{k_0+1} \prod_{i \in J} \bar{c}_i^{-1} \neq 0,$$

which means there are only finitely many solutions to the equations $P_i = 0$ with $i \in I$.

Now we assume $\gamma_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ and (4.0.8) becomes

$$P_{i_0} = \sum_{i\in I} \beta_i z_i + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k (\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}))^{q^k} = 0. \tag{4.0.9}$$

We are reduced to show there are only finitely many solutions to (4.0.6), (4.0.7) and (4.0.9). Note that $\bar{c}_i \in \Bbbk$ with $i \in J$ are linear independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by our choice of E and that not all β_i for $i \in I$ is zero. The statement now follows directly from Lemma 4.0.9 below. $\square$

Lemma 4.0.9. *Let I be finite index set and $J \subset I$ a nonempty subset. Let $C \subset \Bbbk[z]$ be the k-vector space spanned by z^{q^k} with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. Let T_I ($T_{I\setminus J}$) be the $\Bbbk$-vector subspace of $\Bbbk[z_i; i \in I]$ spanned by z_i with $i \in I$ ($i \in I \setminus J$). We fix $i_0 \in J$.*

Let $\bar{c}_i \in \Bbbk$ with $i \in J$ be linear independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $t \in T_I$ and $g \in C$ such that either $t \notin T_{I\setminus J}$ or g is nontrivial. Then there are only finitely many solutions to the following equations in variables z_i for $i \in I$.

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \bar{c}_i^{-1}(z_i^q - z_i) - \bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}) = 0, \text{ if } i \in J \setminus \{i_0\}; \\ P_i &= z_i^q - z_i = 0, \text{ if } i \in I \setminus J; \\ P_{t,g} &= t + g(\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume $t = \sum_{i\in I} \beta_i z_i$ and $g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^{q^k}$, where $\beta_i, \alpha_k \in \Bbbk$ and $\alpha_k = 0$ for all but finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$. We argue by induction on $|J|$. If $|J| = 1$, then $J = \{i_0\}$ and $z_i^q - z_i = 0$ for $i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}$. By $P_{t,g} = 0$ we have

$$\beta_{i_0} z_{i_0} + g(\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0})) \in \sum_{i\in I\setminus\{i_0\}} \mathbb{F}_q \beta_i.$$

By our assumption, either $\beta_{i_0} \neq 0$ or g is nontrivial, then $\beta_{i_0} z_{i_0} + g(\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q - z_{i_0}))$ is not a constant polynomial and hence there are only finitely many solutions. Now we assume the statement holds when $1 \leqslant |J| < s$, we show that it also holds when $|J| = s$. Let $J_1 = \{i \in J; \beta_i \neq 0\}$. Then $J_1 \neq \emptyset$ because $t \in T_{I\setminus J}$. If $J_1 \subsetneq J$, the statement follows from induction hypothesis by replacing I and J with $J_1 \cup (I \setminus J)$ and J_1 respectively. So we assume that $\beta_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in J$. Let $P_{i_0} = P_{t,g}$. One computes that

$$\pm \det(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial z_j})_{i,j\in I} = \beta_{i_0} - \bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}\alpha_0 + \sum_{i\in J\setminus\{i_0\}} \frac{\bar{c}_i}{\bar{c}_{i_0}} \beta_j.$$

Then we can assume that $\det(\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial z_j})_{i,j\in I}=0$, that is,

$$\alpha_0-\sum_{i\in J}\bar{c}_i\beta_i=0. \tag{4.0.10}$$

By $(\frac{1}{\beta_{i_0}}P_{i_0})^q-\frac{1}{\beta_{i_0}}P_{i_0}=0$ we have

$$(z_{i_0}^q-z_{i_0})+\sum_{i\in I\setminus\{i_0\}}\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}}(z_i^q-z_i)+\sum_{i\in I\setminus\{i_0\}}((\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}})^q-\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}})z_i^q+(\frac{g(r)}{\beta_{i_0}})^q-\frac{g(r)}{\beta_{i_0}}=0,$$

where $r=\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q-z_{i_0})$. By (4.0.10) and the relations $z_i^q-z_i=\bar{c}_i\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q-z_{i_0})$ for $i\in I$ we have

$$\sum_{i\in I\setminus\{i_0\}}((\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}})^q-\frac{1}{\beta_{i_0}})z_i^q+(\frac{g(r)}{\beta_{i_0}})^q-\frac{1}{\beta_{i_0}}(g-\alpha_0 z)(r)=0.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{\beta_{i_0}}(g-\alpha_0 z)\in C$ has a q-th root $g_1'\in C$. Let $g'=\frac{1}{\beta_{i_0}}g-g_1'\in C$ and $t'=\sum_{i\in I\setminus\{i_0\}}\beta_i' z_i\in T_I$, where β_i' is the q-th root of $(\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}})^q-\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}}$. Let $I'=I\setminus\{i_0\}$ and $J'=J\setminus\{i_0\}$. Since $|J|=s\geqslant 2$, $J'\neq\emptyset$. We claim that either $t'\notin T_{I'\setminus J'}$ or g' is nontrivial. Assume otherwise. Then g' is a zero polynomial, hence so is g, which implies $\alpha_0=0$. On the other hand, since $t'\in T_{I\setminus J}$ we have $\beta_i'^q=(\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}})^q-\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}}=0$ for $i\in J\setminus\{i_0\}$. Hence $\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}}\in\mathbb{F}_q$ for all $i\in J$. Therefore by (4.0.10) we have

$$\sum_{i\in J}\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_{i_0}}\bar{c}_i=0,$$

which contradicts our assumption that $\bar{c}_i$ with $i\in J$ are linear independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The claim is proved.

Choose $i_0'\in J'$. Let $P_i'=\bar{c}_i^{-1}(z_i^q-z_i)-\bar{c}_{i_0'}^{-1}(z_{i_0'}^q-z_{i_0'})$ if $i\in J'\setminus\{i_0'\}$ and $P_i'=z_i^q-z_i$ if $i\in I'\setminus J'$. Let $P'_{t',g'}=t'+g'(\bar{c}_{i_0'}^{-1}(z_{i_0'}^q-z_{i_0'}))$. Note that $\bar{c}_i$ with $i\in J'$ are linear independent over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Applying induction hypothesis, we see that there are only finitely many solutions to $P'_{t',g'}$ and P_i' with $i\in I'$. Since $\bar{c}_{i_0}^{-1}(z_{i_0}^q-z_{i_0})=\bar{c}_{i_0'}^{-1}(z_{i_0'}^q-z_{i_0'})$, hence there are only finitely many solutions to $P_{t,g}$ and P_i with $i\in I$. The proof is finished. □

References

[LNV] E. Lau, M.-H. Nicole, A. Vasiu, *Stratications of Newton polygon strata and Traverso's conjectures for p-divisible groups*, arXiv:0912.0506v2.

[N] S. Nie, *Fundamental elements of an affine Weyl group*, arXiv:1310.2229.

[Va] A. Vasiu, *Reconstructing p-divisible groups from their truncations of small level*, Comment. Math. Helv. 85 (2010) no. 1, 165–202.

[Vi] E. Viehmann, *Truncation of level 1 of elements in the loop group of a reductive group*, arXiv:0907.2331.

[X1] X. Xiao, *Computing isomorphism numbers of F-crystals using level torsions*, J. Number Theory 132 (2012), no. 12, 2817–2835.

[X2] X. Xiao, *Subtle invariants of F-crystals*, arXiv:1311.4009.

Max-Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn
E-mail address: niesian@amss.ac.cn